

Remarks

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 - 4 and 8 - 16 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by US Published Patent Application 2002/0091476 to Beck. The Examiner has also rejected claims 1 - 16 under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by US Published Patent Application 2002/0059075 to Schick et al. Claims 1 and 12 have now been amended to more clearly define the invention as a system that both documents operating parameters and uses the documented operating parameter to control the implement and/or the working machine. The idea is thus to control the implement based upon its history. This combination is not known in the prior art and has e.g. the advantage that a header that has already been used for a long time, or a header which has not been serviced, is driven with a lower forward speed in order to keep the throughput within certain limits in order to avoid that the header is damaged during operation.

In conclusion, it is believed that this application is in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that a telephonic conference would be useful in furthering the present application toward allowance, the undersigned attorney would welcome such a call.

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing of the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account 04-0525. Two duplicates of this page are enclosed.

Respectfully,



Attorney for Applicant(s)

W. Michael Dixon
Reg. No. 37,815
Patent Department
Deere & Company
One John Deere Place
Moline, IL 61265
Telephone No. (309) 765-5159

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Mail Stop Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22303-0145
on: 8 July 2005
Date

Jaine Newbark 8 July 2005
Signature Date